

Original Research Article

Received	: 08/02/2023
Received in revised form	: 11/03/2023
Accepted	: 03/04/2023

Keywords: incisional hernia, onlay mesh repair, Surgical Site Infection, comorbidity

Corresponding Author: **Dr. K P Abhishek Bhat,** Email: abhishekbhat1995@gmail.com

DOI: 10.47009/jamp.2022.5.3.222

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None declared

Int J Acad Med Pharm 2023; 5 (3); 1082-1086



STUDY OF ONLAY MESH REPAIR IN THE MANAGEMENT OF MIDLINE INCISIONAL HERNIA

K P Abhishek Bhat¹, Abhijit S Shetty²

¹Junior Resident, Department of General Surgery, K S Hegde Medical Academy, Nithyanandanagar, Deralakatte, Mangalore 575018, India.

²Professor, Department of General Surgery, K S Hegde Medical Academy, Nithyanandanagar, Deralakatte, Mangalore 575018, India.

Abstract

Background: Ventral incisional hernias (VIH) develop in 10-20% of patients after abdominal surgery; they are a major source of morbidity and upto 44% recurrence rate is reported within first five years after repair. Incisional hernias typically develop wi thin first 5 years of surgery; however, their development may be delayed. Aim & Objective: 1. Study of onlay mesh repair in the management of midline incisional hernia.2. Analysis of risk factors for recurrence after incisional hernia repair Methods: Study design: A cross sectional study. Study setting: Department of surgery of tertiary care center Study duration: from..to.... Sample size: 60 Results: A total of 391 patients operated for incisional hernia were reviewed and 60 patients were found eligible to be included in this study; of them 29 (48.3%) were large (defect of 10e15 cm) and 31 (51.7%) were giant hernia (defect size >15 cm). Mean age of patients was 43.8 11.8 with female preponderance (male: female; 1:1.6). Most of the patients were obese with 29 patients (48%) having BMI between 30 and 41 kg/m2. In majority of patients, it was elective clean procedure with onlay placement of mesh fixed with prolene sutures. Component separation was required in only five patients for adequate repair and was done as a part of mesh repair. Complications were observed in 14 (23.33%) patients, of which SSI was the most common complication found in 13 (21.67%) patients. This included two patients with mesh infection. Second most common complication after SSI was seroma formation (1.67%) seen in just a single patient. **Conclusion:** The Technique of mesh placement is still at surgeon's discretion; however, onlay mesh repair has shown promising results in our study. Surgical Site Infection is the most common complication following repair of large and giant incisional hernia. Diabetes Mellitus and contaminated surgery have consistently been shown to be the two most important risk factors for SSI.

INTRODUCTION

Ventral incisional hernias (VIH) develop in 10-20% of patients after abdominal surgery;^[1] they are a major source of morbidity and upto 44% recurrence rate is reported within first five years after repair.^[2-4] Incisional hernias typically develop within first 5 years of surgery; however, their development may be delayed. A number of factors contribute to evolution of a small incisional hernia into a large one over a period of time.^[5,6] According to the size of defect, European Hernia Society classifies incisional hernias as: a) Small: 10 cm in width or length.^[7]

There is no unanimous definition of what surgeons actually mean by giant incisional hernia (Fig. 1); however, the classification proposed by Chevrel based on the diameter of the wall defect, suggests the denotation of giant for those >15 cm in

transverse dimension.[8] Small hernias with defect size upto 3 cm can be repaired by simple suturing alone; however, it is usually difficult to repair large hernias without using autologous tissue flap or prosthesis reinforcement.^[9,10] Surgical site infection (SSI), recurrence, mesh infection, wound dehiscence, seroma and enterocutaneous fistulae are common complications of incisional hernia repair reported in literature.^[11] The incidence of SSI after open and laparoscopic VIH repair has been reported in up to 27.7% and 10.5%, respectively.^[12] The extent to which the well-known risk factors of SSI i.e. co-morbidities, hernia characteristics (e.g., size or duration) and procedural characteristics (including operative

technique, surgeon's experience, and medical

center's results) play any role in the occurrence of

SSI following VIH is still largely unknown.^[13]

Overall recurrence rates up to 33% after first repair and 44% after second repair have been reported and mostly within 3 years of the repair.3 With the use of prosthetic mesh, the rate of recurrence has been lowered to 8-24%, but it has not been eliminated.^[14] A number of risk factors of recurrent VIH have been hypothesized i.e. Obesity (BMI>35), hernia size, wound infection, smoking, diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, age, history of an abdominal aortoiliac aneurysm repair, and steroids use.^[15-19]

Aim and Objective

- 1. Study of onlay mesh repair in the management of midline incisional hernia
- 2. Analysis of risk factors for recurrence after incisional hernia repair

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design: A cross sectional study.

Study Setting: Department of Surgery of tertiary care center.

Study Duration: from..to....

Sample Size: 60

Study Population: All incision hernia cases operated in tertiary care center during study period such cases were included in the study.

- Inclusion Criteria
 - 1. Age above 18 years
 - 2. All cases operated for incision hernia

Exclusion Criteria

- 1. Not willing to participate
- 2. Loss to follow up
- 3. Incomplete proforma

Procedure

Operative notes and clinic follow ups were reviewed to select the patients fulfilling the inclusion criteria. Patients with missing records were excluded. Study was exempted from Ethical Review Committee as per institutional guidelines. The charts of selected patients were reviewed by a general surgery fellow for hernia recurrence, complications (SSI, mesh infection and seroma formation) and mortality.

The predictive factors compared consisted of age, sex, BMI, comorbidities, previous history of chemo/radiotherapy or incisional hernia repair and surgical details of VIH repair (Type, nature, duration, mesh application & fixation). Data was entered on SPSS e 16. Descriptive analysis of baseline characteristics was done. Categorical variables i.e. sex, comorbids and outcomes were analyzed as proportions.

Continuous variables i.e. age and duration of surgery were analyzed as means (standard deviation). Predictive factors were compared between the groups by Chi squared or Fisher's exact test for categorical variables and Student's t test for continuous variables. P-value <0.05 was considered significant.

RESULT

A total of 391 patients operated for incisional hernia were reviewed and 60 patients were found eligible to be included in this study; of them 29 (48.3%) were large (defect of 10e15 cm) and 31 (51.7%) were giant hernia (defect size >15 cm) (Fig. 1). Mean age of patients was 43.8 11.8 with female preponderance (male: female; 1:1.6). Most of the patients were obese with 29 patients (48%) having BMI between 30 and 41 kg/m2.

Demographic (Age, sex, BMI) and perioperative data are reported in Table 1. In majority of patients, it was elective clean procedure with onlay placement of mesh fixed with prolene sutures. Component separation was required in only five patients for adequate repair and was done as a part of mesh repair. Complications were observed in 14 (23.33%) patients, of which SSI was the most common complication found in 13 (21.67%) patients.

This included two patients with mesh infection. Second most common complication after SSI was seroma formation (1.67%) seen in just a single patient. Significant predisposing factors for SSI (with or without mesh infection) were diabetes mellitus, emergency surgery, contaminated surgery and recurrent incisional hernia (Table 2). We did not find any significant association of SSI with gender, technique of mesh placement and fixation, defect size and history of chemotherapy. There was no mortality in this series. With a mean follow up of 20.05 8.8 months (range: 12-48months), four (6.67%) patients had recurrence of hernia, three of them in the onlay technique group and one in the inlay technique group. Significantly higher proportion of patients with Pfannenstiel incision and chemotherapy within 1 year prior to repair were found to have recurrence (Table 3).

Table 1: Showing baseline characteristics of all the patients operated for large and giant incisional hernia (n= 60).				
Variable	Value			
Age	43.8±11.8			
Sex				
Male	23(38.3%)			
Female	37(61.7%)			
BMI	29.45±5			
Follow up(months)	20.05±8.827(range: 12-48)			
Defect size	$14.4 \times 10.5 \text{cm}^2$			

Type of surgery	
Elective	55
Emergency	5
Placement of mesh	
Onlay	54
Inlay	4
Sublay	2
Component separation	5
Mesh Fixation	
Suture	40
Staples	14
Combined	6
Degree of contamination	
Clean	52
Clean contaminated	8

Variable	$\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}$	P-value ^a	
Diabetes mellitus			
Yes	7/14	0.002	
No	6/46	0.003	
Type of surgery			
Elective	10/55	0.03	
Emergency	3/5	0.03	
	Degree of contaminated		
Clean	9/52	0.037	
Clean contaminated	4/8	0.037	
	Previous surgery for incisional hernia		
None	8/49		
Once	3/4	0.016	
Twice	0/5	0.010	
>2 times	1/2		

^a Chi- square test.

le 3: Analysis of risk factors for	recurrence after incisional hernia repair		
Variable	Recurrence (<i>n=4</i>)	P value ^a	
	Type of incision leading to incisional hernia		
Pfannenstiel	3/18	0.012	
Other	1/42	0.042	
	History of Chemotherapy		
None	3/57		
Within 1 year	1/1	0.001	
Within 1-5 Years	0/2		
-sauare test			

Chi-square test.

Table 4: Showing	comparison	of our	study	with oth	er similar	studies
Table 4: Showing	comparison	or our	Sluuy	with our	ler sinnar	studies

Table 4. Showing comparison of our study with other similar studies						
Study	Year	Sample size	Follow up(months)	Recurrence	SSI	Mesh infection
De Vries Reilingh <i>et al.</i>	2004	53	24(8-58)	28%	26%	3.3%
Afifi et al.	2005	41	30(median)	14.6%	4.8%	-
Bwradaran <i>et</i> <i>al</i> .	2007	61	35(8-88)	5%	21%	3%
Baradaran <i>et</i> <i>al</i> .	2008	29	16(8-26)	7%	3%	0%
Paajanen et al.	2010	10	30(7-72)	10%	30%	0%
Our study	2010	60	20(12-48)	6.6%	21%	3.3%

DISCUSSION

Surgery for giant incisional hernias has undergone major changes in the last two decades and patients can now be treated with high success rates. With the use of prosthetic mesh becoming the standard of care in the management of incisional hernias, the subsequent rate of recurrence has been lowered to 8-24% from 33 to 44%, but it has not been eliminated.^[14,25] However the question of

debate now is the positioning of mesh; on the rectus sheath or under rectus sheath. $^{[15,18]}$

The recurrence rate following repair of ventral incisional hernia in our study is 6.6% which is lower when compared with similar recent studies from around the world. This Low recurrence rate in our study can be attributed to a number of factors. Firstly, the mean duration of follow up (mean 29.45 5 months) in our patients was shorter than similar studies from around the world.

However, notice in Table 4 that Baradaran H *et al.* although having a shorter follow up period than us had a recurrence rate similar to us (7%).

Secondly, only 18.3% of our patients had already undergone any previous repair of Incisional hernia, whereas 28.3% and 100% of the patients had undergone one or more previous incisional hernia repairs in the studies conducted by de Vries Reilingh TS *et al.* (recurrence rate: 28%) and Affifi *et al.* (recurrence rate: 14.6%), respectively. This effect of increase in recurrence rate after subsequent incisional hernia repairs is well documented in literature.^[3]

Another potential risk factor for this difference in recurrence rate could have been the technique of mesh placement. Majority (90%) of cases in our study underwent the onlay technique of hernia repair. Though a few patients had undergone sublay (4%) and inlay (6%), their numbers were not enough to draw any significant relationship between the rate of recurrence and technique used. However, the number of patients in our onlay group is large enoughto compare it for recurrence with the onlay groups of similar studies.

The rate of recurrence in the onlay group in our study was 6.67% (mean follow up 20 months), which is significantly lower compared to average of 18.5% for onlay technique of repair reported in literature.^[27] Venclaukas *et al.*, Raafat *et al.* and TS de Vries *et al.* reported recurrence rates of 10.5%, 27.2% and 23% in their onlay groups with mean follow ups of 12, 30 and 30 months, respectively.

This discrepancy can be because of patient factors and follow up time as mentioned before. Raafat *et al.*, L Venclaukas *et al.* and TS de Vries *et al.* in their studies concluded that underlay technique of repair, with recurrence rate of 0%-12%, seems to be a much better technique compared to onlay. However, in our study, we have a similar rate of recurrence (6.6%) with the onlay technique.

This entails the need for a large multicenter RCT to decide the best treatment technique for incisional hernia repair. The Ventral Hernia Working Group also noted that underlay may be preferred because of the theoretical advantages of this technique. However, there is no reliable data supporting the use of one technique over another.11 Common complications following ventral hernia repair include infection, seroma, wound dehiscence, and the formation of enterocutaneous fistulae.^[11]

Each of these complications conveys morbidity and the risk for additional sequelae. Each also relates to the management of the wound and to risks associated with the use of repair materials. A wound dehiscence, for example, may lead to exposure of the repair material; in case of permanent synthetic mesh, it will most likely require removal because of continued risk for infection. The incidence of surgical site infection in our study was 21.67%, making it the most common complication following the repair of incisional hernia. This is consistent with literature, with wound infection as the most common complication following incisional hernia repair.^[1,15]

Diabetes mellitus, emergency surgery, contaminated surgery and recurrent incisional hernia were the only significant predisposing factors for SSI in our study. Surgical site Infection was followed by seroma formation the second (1.67%)as most common complication. Infection is a common and significant postoperative occurrence that increases the risk of hernia recurrence.19 Studies have reported rates of infection following ventral hernia repair ranging from 4% to16%, compared with only 2% following other clean surgical procedures.^[4,6,12]

Similar to the available studies we also found decreased rates of Surgical Site Infection in clean vs. contaminated surgery (17.3% vs. 50%, p < 0.05). In addition, the type of surgery (elective vs. emergency) was significantly associated with rate of SSI in our study. Since both of these factors are related to wound care, they warrant better intra operative and immediately postoperative services for decreased rate of infection. Furthermore antibiotic prophylaxis which has been demonstrated to lower the rate of infection following incisional hernia repair^[13] should become a standard practice when repairing incisional hernias.

CONCLUSION

The Technique of mesh placement is still at surgeon's discretion; however, onlay mesh repair has shown promising results in our study. Surgical Site Infection is the most common complication following repair of large and giant incisional hernia. Diabetes Mellitus and contaminated surgery have consistently been shown to be the two most important risk factors for SSI.

REFERENCES

- 1. Cassar K, Munro A. Surgical treatment of incisional hernia. Br J Surg 2002 May;89(5):534-45.
- Flum DR, Horvath K, Koepsell T. Have outcomes of incisional hernia repair improved with time?: a populationbased analysis. Ann Surgery 2003;237(1): 129.
- Matapurkar BG, Gupta AK, Agarwal AK. A new technique of "Marlex-peritoneal sandwich" in the repair of large incisional hernias. World J Surg 1991 NovDec;15(6):768e70.
- Raftopoulos I, Vanuno D, Khorsand J, Kouraklis G, Lasky P. Comparison of open and laparoscopic prosthetic repair of large ventral hernias. JSLS 2003 Jul-Sep;7(3): 227e32. 5

- Chrysos E, Athanasakis E, Saridaki Z, Kafetzakis A, Dimitriadou D, Koutsoumpas V, *et al.* Surgical repair of incisional ventral hernias: tension-free technique using prosthetic materials (expanded polytetrafluoroethylene GoreTex Dual Mesh). Am Surg 2000 Jul;66(7):679e82. 6.
- Israelsson LA. The surgeon as a risk factor for complications of midline incisions. Eur J Surg 1998 May;164(5):353e9.
- Korenkov M, Paul A, Sauerland S, Neugebauer E, Arndt M, Chevrel JP, *et al.* Classification and surgical treatment of incisional hernia. Results of an experts' meeting. Langenbecks Arch Surg 2001 Feb;386(1):65e73.
- Chevrel JP, Rath AM. Classification of incisional hernias of the abdominal wall. Hernia 2000;4(1):7e11.
- Sukkar SM, Dumanian GA, Szczerba SM, Tellez MG. Challenging abdominal wall defects. Am J Surg 2001 Feb;181(2):115e21.
- Mathes SJ, Steinwald PM, Foster RD, Hoffman WY, Anthony JP. Complex abdominal wall reconstruction: a comparison of flap and mesh closure. Ann Surg 2000 Oct;232(4):586e96. 11.
- 11. Breuing K, Butler CE, Ferzoco S, Franz M, Hultman CS, Kilbridge JF, *et al.* Incisional ventral hernias: review of the literature and recommendations regarding the grading and technique of repair. Surgery.
- Itani KM, Neumayer L, Reda D, Kim L, Anthony T. Repair of ventral incisional hernia: the design of a randomized trial to compare open and laparoscopic surgical techniques. Am J Surg 2004 Dec;188(6A Suppl):22Se9S.
- Kaafarani HM, Kaufman D, Reda D, Itani KM. Predictors of surgical site infection in laparoscopic and open ventral incisional herniorrhaphy. J Surg Res Oct 2010;163(2):229-34.
- Cobb WS, Harris JB, Lokey JS, McGill ES, Klove KL. Incisional herniorrhaphy with intraperitoneal composite mesh: a report of 95 cases. Am Surg 2003 Sep;69(9): 784-7.
- Kurzer M, Kark A, Selouk S, Belsham P. Open mesh repair of incisional hernia using a sublay technique: long-term follow-up. World J Surg 2008 Jan;32(1): 31-6.
- Burger JW, Luijendijk RW, Hop WC, Halm JA, Verdaasdonk EG, Jeekel J. Longterm follow-up of a randomized controlled trial of suture versus mesh repair of incisional hernia. Ann Surg 2004 Oct;240(4):578-83. discussion 83-5.
- 17. Luijendijk RW, Hop WC, van den Tol MP, de Lange DC, Braaksma MM, JN IJ, *et al.* A comparison of suture repair with mesh repair for incisional hernia. N Engl J Med 2000 Aug 10;343(6):392-8.
- Le H, Bender JS. Retrofascial mesh repair of ventral incisional hernias. Am J Surg 2005 Mar;189(3):373-5.
- Iqbal CW, Pham TH, Joseph A, Mai J, Thompson GB, Sarr MG. Long-term outcome of 254 complex incisional hernia repairs using the modified RivesStoppa technique. World J Surg 2007 Dec;31(12):2398-404.
- Ammaturo C, Bassi G. Surgical treatment of large incisional hernias with an intraperitoneal Parietex Composite mesh: our preliminary experience on 26 cases. Hernia 2004 Aug;8(3):242-6.
- Ohana G, Bramnik Z, Miller A, Seror D, Ariche A, Bachar Gil N, *et al.* Treatment of large incisional abdominal wall hernias, using a modified preperitoneal prosthetic mesh repair. Hernia 2006 Jun;10(3):232-5.
- 22. Rives J, Pire JC, Flament JB, Palot JP, Body C. Treatment of large eventrations. New therapeutic indications apropos of 322 cases. Chirurgie 1985;111(3):215-25.
- Paajanen H, Hermunen H. Long-term pain and recurrence after repair of ventral incisional hernias by open mesh: clinical and MRI study. Langenbecks Arch Surg 2004 Oct;389(5):366-70.
- Trivellini G, Bagni CM, Sollini A, Senni M, Leone S, Contessini Avesani E. Repair of giant hernias using more prosthesis. Hernia 2001 Sep;5(3):124-8.
 Cobb WS, Kercher KW, Matthews BD, Burns JM, Tinkham
- Cobb WS, Kercher KW, Matthews BD, Burns JM, Tinkham NH, Sing RF, *et al.* Laparoscopic ventral hernia repair: a single center experience. Hernia 2006 Jun;10(3):236-42.

- 26. de Vries Reilingh TS, van Geldere D, Langenhorst B, de Jong D, van der Wilt GJ, van Goor H, *et al.* Repair of large midline incisional hernias with polypropylene mesh: comparison of three operative techniques. Hernia 2004 Feb;8(1):56-9.
- Mathonnet M, Antarieu S, Gainant A, Preux PM, Boutros-Toni F, Cubertafond P. Postoperative incisional hernias: intra- or extraperitoneal prosthesis implantation? Chirurgie 1998 Apr;123(2):154-9.